BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Rulemaking on the Commission's Own Motion to Govern Open Access to Bottleneck Services and Establish A Framework for Network Architecture Development of Dominant Carrier Networks.

Rulemaking 93-04-003 (Filed April 7, 1993)

Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion into Open Access and Network Architecture Development of Dominant Carrier Networks.

Investigation 93-04-002 (Filed April 7, 1993)

(Verizon UNE Phase)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING CONTAINING FOURTH SCHEDULE REVISION

This ruling contains a fourth revision to the schedule for the permanent phase of the "Verizon Unbundled Network Element (UNE) Phase" of Rulemaking 93-04-003/Investigation 93-04-002 (OANAD proceeding), in which the Commission will set recurring and nonrecurring prices for Verizon California's (Verizon's) UNEs, and price floors for Verizon's Category II services.

The initial schedule for the latest phase of this case called for Verizon and other parties to file cost studies on March 14, 2003. On January 27, 2003, I issued a ruling revising the cost study filing date to May 19, 2003, to avoid overlap with the Commission's reexamination of UNE pricing for Pacific Bell Telephone Company (d/b/a SBC California). (See Application 01-02-024 and consolidated cases.) Verizon, AT&T Communications of California (AT&T), and WorldCom Inc. (WorldCom) (collectively "Joint Commenters") requested an extension of the cost study deadline to August 8, 2003, which I granted by ruling of June 11, 2003.

157395 - 1 -

On July 8, 2003, Verizon and Joint Commenters requested an extension until October 20, 2003 for the filing of cost studies. I granted that extension by electronic mail to the parties on July 10, 2003. Subsequently, on October 3, 2003, Verizon and Joint Commenters requested an additional two weeks for the filing of cost studies. This ruling grants that extension and sets the subsequent schedule for this case.

Revised Schedule to Set Permanent UNE Rates

The following schedule replaces the schedule previously set in the June 11, 2003 ruling and should apply to the permanent phase of this case, subject to change by further ruling of the Administrative Law Judge:

November 3, 2003	Filing of cost studies/models, explained and	
	supported through Opening Comments, witness	
	declarations, workpapers, supporting materials,	
	and electronic versions of cost models.	
January 13-15, 2004	Technical Workshop on cost studies/models	
9:30 a.m.	San Francisco Civic Center Complex	
	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Hearing Room 9	
	San Francisco, CA 94102	
February 20, 2004	Reply Comments on cost studies/models	
April 23, 2004	Rebuttal Comments on cost studies/models	
May 7, 2004	Deadline for motions requesting hearings. Any motions must justify the need for an evidentiary	
	hearing by identifying the material disputed	
	factual issues on which hearing should be held. In	
	addition, any motion should identify the general	
	nature of the evidence the party proposes to	
	introduce at the requested hearing. Any right a	
	party may otherwise have to an evidentiary	
	hearing for the presentation of facts will be waived	
	if the party does not follow the above procedure	
	for a timely request.	
May 19, 2004	Ruling on need for hearings and submission of	
	sace (if request for bearings not granted)	

	case (if request for hearings not granted).	
July 22, 2004	Proposed Decision Issued (if hearings not	
	required).	

If Hearings Required:

June 14-18, 2004	Evidentiary Hearings
	Commission Courtroom
	State Office Building
	505 Van Ness Avenue
	San Francisco, CA 94102
July 30, 2004	Concurrent opening briefs
August 27, 2004	Reply briefs and case submitted
November 25, 2004	Proposed Decision issued

A copy of all filings should be sent electronically to the service list and to ALJ Dorothy Duda at dot@cpuc.ca.gov.

IT IS RULED that the schedule for the permanent phase of this proceeding is revised as set forth in this ruling.

Dated October 9, 2003, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ DOROTHY J. DUDA
Dorothy J. Duda
Administrative Law Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I have by mail this day served a true copy of the original attached Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Containing Fourth Schedule Revision on all parties of record in this proceeding or their attorneys of record. In addition, service was also performed by electronic mail.

Dated October 9, 2003, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ FANNIE SID
Fannie Sid

NOTICE

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to insure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.

The Commission's policy is to schedule hearings (meetings, workshops, etc.) in locations that are accessible to people with disabilities. To verify that a particular location is accessible, call: Calendar Clerk (415) 703-1203.

If specialized accommodations for the disabled are needed, e.g., sign language interpreters, those making the arrangements must call the Public Advisor at (415) 703-2074 or TTY# 1-866-836-7825 or (415) 703-5282 at least three working days in advance of the event.